

Introduction to Composite Solid Rocket Propellants

Selva ASTAN

Mechanical and Chemical Industry Corporation

Ahmet Burçin BATIBAY

Mechanical and Chemical Industry Corporation

To Cite This Chapter:

Astan, S., & Batibay, A. B. (2025). Introduction to composite solid rocket propellants. In H. Gokmese, S. Bulbul, & Y. Uzun (Eds.), *Innovative approaches in materials science and applications* (pp. 152–169). ISRES Book Series. ISRES Publishing.

Introduction

Composite solid rocket propellants are a crucial technology in aerospace propulsion, functioning as the primary propulsion system for a wide array of civilian and military applications. Their significance stems from their capability to deliver high energy output and reliability, essential for launching vehicles and tactical missiles (Bekhouche & Luo, 2014; Ling et al., 2025; Shi et al., 2025)

These propellants are heterogeneous mixtures engineered to combine multiple constituent materials with distinct physical and chemical properties, producing materials with characteristics significantly different from their individual components (Mourdikoudis, Kostopoulou, & LaGrow, 2021). These propellants consist of a mixture that combines oxidizers, such as ammonium perchlorate, fuels like aluminum powder, and binders such as hydroxyl-terminated polybutadiene (HTPB), which together facilitate efficient energy release during combustion (Bekhouche & Luo, 2014; Campos et al., 2010; Mingireanu, Jula, Miclos, Baschir, & Savastru, 2018).

The mechanical properties and performance characteristics of composite solid rocket propellants are vital for their effective use in different operational contexts. Their formulation enables adaptability to various missions, illustrating their importance not only in aerospace operations but also in defense strategies (Alemayehu & Solomon, 2020; Ling et al., 2025; Thakre & Yang, 2010).

Solid rocket motors employing these propellants are known for their simplicity and operational reliability, making them a favored choice in both commercial and military sectors (Lichthardt et al., 2022; Mingireanu et al., 2018; Unni et al., 2020). With ongoing research aimed at enhancing their performance and safety attributes, composite solid rocket propellants remain a focal point of advancement in propulsion technologies (Runtu, Setiani, Utami, & Review, 2023; Said, Maraden, Elhedery, Abd Elall, &

Elbasuney, 2023).

Thus, composite solid rocket propellants symbolize a foundational technology in aerospace propulsion, serving critical roles in numerous applications across both civilian and military domains (Koizumi et al., 2010; Remissa et al., 2023; Singh & Shekhar, 2016).

The development of composite solid rocket propellants emerged from the need for reliable, storable propulsion systems that could provide consistent performance across a wide range of applications. The historical preference for ammonium perchlorate (AP) as the oxidizer in composite propellants stems from its well-established thermal decomposition characteristics and proven performance in flight applications. However, the combustion of AP-based propellants produces undesirable byproducts, most notably hydrogen chloride (HCl), which presents environmental and operational challenges (De Amicis, Scalia, Accettura, & AERONAUTICS, 2008). This limitation has driven significant research into alternative oxidizer systems, including ammonium dinitramide (ADN), hydrazinium nitroformate (HNF), and ammonium nitrate (AN)-based formulations (Elzaki & Zhang, 2016).

The evolution of composite solid rocket propellant technology has been characterized by continuous refinement of component selection, processing methodologies, and performance optimization. Modern propellant formulations increasingly incorporate advanced additives and catalytic systems designed to enhance thermal decomposition efficiency, improve combustion characteristics, and reduce environmental impact (Mani, 2025). The field has also witnessed the emergence of energetic binders—polymeric materials that contribute to the overall energy content of the propellant rather than serving merely as inert binding agents (Jarosz, Stolarczyk, Wawrzekiewicz-Jalowiecka, Pawlus, & Mischyszyn, 2019).

Fundamentals and Classification of Propellants

Primary explosives are very sensitive to initiation. They can be set off by friction, impact, heat, electrical discharge, and shock. Secondary explosives, on the other hand, are more powerful because they have higher detonation pressures and speeds. Even though they are quite sensitive, primary explosives are employed a lot in the military and in commercial settings (Agrawal, 2010; Arun Kumar, Purayil Dhanya, Venugopal, Vargeese, & Krishnamurthy, 2024; Singh & Shekhar, 2016).

Propellants, which are also called low explosives, burn slowly and in a controlled way, creating a lot of hot gases that can be used for a variety of explosive applications, such as pushing projectiles (bullets, shells, rockets, or missiles). Propellants don't explode like primary explosives do. Instead, they burn, which creates heat (Agrawal, 2010;

Arun Kumar et al., 2024). The classification of chemical propellants is based on their respective physical states, which are liquid, solid, polymer, or hybrid (Mishra, 2017).

Solid propellants are favored over liquid propellants due to their safety, reliability, simplicity, and long storage life (Agrawal, 2010; Mishra, 2017). It is important to note that a solid propellant must have a minimum ignition temperature for ignition to occur. The distribution of fuel and oxidizer in solid propellants can be broadly classified into two categories: homogeneous (or colloidal), and heterogeneous. Fuel and oxidizer are both present in the same molecule of homogeneous propellants. Solid fuel and oxidizer maintain their respective physical identities in the context of heterogeneous propellants (Mishra, 2017; Singh & Shekhar, 2016).

Homogeneous propellants, also known as double-base (DB) propellants, mainly consist of nitrocellulose (NC) and nitroglycerine (NG). Additional substances are often added in small quantities to enhance properties, serving as stabilizers, plasticizers, coolants, lubricants, opacifiers, or burning-rate modifiers. These propellants are shaped using extrusion or casting techniques and are commonly used in anti-tank missiles (Agrawal, 2010; Mishra, 2017).

Heterogeneous propellants primarily include composite propellants, which consist of a fuel or binder and oxidizer. The binder, which forms the base matrix, retains solid oxidizers like ammonium nitrate (AN) or ammonium perchlorate (AP). The choice of binder is influenced by factors such as availability, cost, processing ease, and required specific impulse (I_{sp}) (Agrawal, 2010; Singh & Shekhar, 2016; Sutton & Biblarz, 2011).

Properties of Composite Solid Rocket Propellants (CSRPs)

Composite solid rocket propellants (CSRPs) are integral to modern propulsion systems, widely utilized across military and civilian applications for their reliability, efficiency, and adaptability. This discussion presents an in-depth exploration of the components, formulation, performance characteristics, recent advancements, and future directions for CSRPs (Singh & Shekhar, 2016).

Composite solid rocket propellants are engineered materials composed of multiple discrete phases that function synergistically to produce controlled combustion and thrust generation (De Amicis et al., 2008). Understanding the classification of propellants and their respective advantages and limitations provides foundational context for CSRPs. Each component plays a critical role in determining the propellant's performance characteristics, including burn rate, specific impulse, combustion stability, and environmental compatibility (Singh & Shekhar, 2016).

Composite solid rocket propellants generally consist of a fuel component, an oxidizer, and a polymer binder. Most commonly, hydroxyl-terminated polybutadiene (HTPB) is

used as the binder due to its favorable mechanical properties and compatibility with various additives (Bekhouche & Luo, 2014; Rao, Solanke, Bihari, Singh, & Bhattacharya, 2016). The oxidizing agent most frequently utilized in CSRP is ammonium perchlorate (AP), which plays a critical role in enhancing the propellant's energy output through efficient combustion (Lu et al., 2023; Park, Choi, Kim, Kim, & Park, 2020).

Composite propellants differ from other types, such as double-base or homogeneous propellants, by the incorporation of solid oxidizers mixed with metallic fuels within a polymer matrix, resulting in heterogeneous structures (Navalino, Muda, Hafizah, & Ruyat, 2024; Shekhar, 2012). This allows for tailored performance characteristics such as burn rate, thrust, and stability.

Modern rockets and missiles mainly utilize composite propellants. These composite propellants comprise three essential components: an organic polymer that acts as a binder and a gas-generating combustible fuel; an oxygen-rich solid oxidizer that supplies the requisite oxygen for oxidation; and a combustible metal additive that functions as the primary source of additional thermal energy, thereby improving their performance (Agrawal, 2010).

The integration of these components into a cohesive propellant formulation requires careful consideration of particle size distribution, volume fraction of each constituent, and the chemical compatibility between components. The heterogeneous nature of composite propellants necessitates sophisticated processing techniques to ensure uniform distribution of particles and consistent propellant properties throughout the grain (Singh & Shekhar, 2016). Additionally, various additives are incorporated into the formulation to enhance specific performance characteristics, including catalysts to accelerate oxidizer decomposition, plasticizers to improve mechanical properties, and stabilizers to enhance long-term storage stability (Agrawal, 2010).

Oxidizers of Composite Solid Rocket Propellants (CSRPs)

Oxidizer constitutes a vital element in composite propellants, accounting for more than 70% of their mass. The essential attributes of an optimal oxidizer encompass compatibility with other constituents, elevated oxygen content, little heat of creation, high density, thermal stability, and low hygroscopicity. Safety in handling and extended shelf life, which entails preserving its qualities without phase alterations, are critical factors (De Amicis et al., 2008; Mani, 2025).

The oxidizer's function is essential, as it influences the propellant's physical, chemical, and mechanical properties. Upon decomposition, the oxidizer releases oxygen, facilitating the oxidation of the fuel, thereby generating the necessary energy through exothermic reactions and yielding significant volumes of gas. Historically, various

inorganic compounds, including sodium perchlorate and potassium perchlorate, were evaluated; however, ammonium perchlorate (AP) has emerged as the primary oxidizer for composite solid propellants, particularly in launch vehicles. The quest for environmentally sustainable energetic oxidizers has led to the development of innovative molecules, including dinitramide salts paired with diverse counter cations such as ammonium, guanidinium, and hydrazinium (Agrawal, 2010; Sutton & Biblarz, 2011).

Ammonium perchlorate (NH_4ClO_4) has historically dominated the composite solid rocket propellant industry as the primary oxidizer of choice. With a density of 1.95 g/cm^3 , AP provides excellent oxygen balance and well-characterized thermal decomposition kinetics that have been extensively studied and optimized over decades of aerospace applications. During combustion, AP undergoes thermal decomposition to release oxygen-rich species, including nitrogen oxides and chlorine-containing compounds, which subsequently participate in diffusive flame combustion with the fuel and binder components (Chen, Xue, & Yu, 2024; De Amicis et al., 2008).

The thermal decomposition of AP is highly sensitive to the presence of additives, which can significantly influence the decomposition temperature and reaction pathway (Mani, 2025). The primary limitation of AP-based propellants is the production of hydrogen chloride (HCl) during combustion (De Amicis et al., 2008). The release of HCl presents multiple challenges: environmental concerns regarding atmospheric chlorine chemistry, corrosion issues in rocket engine hardware, and operational constraints related to exhaust plume visibility and environmental regulations. These limitations have motivated substantial research into alternative oxidizer systems that can provide comparable or superior performance while eliminating or significantly reducing the production of toxic chlorine-containing byproducts (Elzaki & Zhang, 2016; Gettwert, Tagliabue, & Weiser, 2017).

Ammonium dinitramide (ADN) represents a promising alternative to ammonium perchlorate, offering the potential for significantly improved environmental performance (Harimech, Salah, Amrousse, & Evaluation, 2025). ADN-based composite propellants are attractive from an environmental perspective because their combustion products do not include toxic chlorine-containing species (Elzaki & Zhang, 2016). The thermal decomposition of ADN has been the subject of intensive research, with particular focus on developing efficient catalyst systems to optimize decomposition kinetics and thermal stability (Gettwert et al., 2017; Harimech et al., 2025).

Recent advances in ADN propellant technology have centered on the development of sophisticated catalyst systems incorporating metal oxides, transition metal complexes, and nanomaterials. Iron and copper oxides have emerged as particularly effective catalysts for ADN decomposition, demonstrating the ability to significantly lower decomposition

temperatures while maintaining thermal stability (Harimech et al., 2025). These catalytic systems are crucial for developing energy-efficient ADN-based propellant compositions that can achieve performance levels comparable to or exceeding those of conventional AP-based formulations. The implementation of ADN as a replacement for AP requires careful consideration of its different thermal and chemical properties. ADN exhibits different decomposition mechanisms compared to AP, necessitating reformulation of the complete propellant system to optimize performance (Elzaki & Zhang, 2016; Gołofit, Cieślak, & Chmielarek, 2023; Harimech et al., 2025).

Hydrazinium nitroformate (HNF) and hydrazinium dinitramide (HDN) are energetic oxidizer alternatives that have been investigated for composite propellant applications (Elzaki & Zhang, 2016). These oxidizers can offer potential advantages in terms of specific impulse and environmental compatibility compared to conventional AP-based systems. However, their practical implementation has been limited by factors including availability, cost, and the need for comprehensive characterization of their thermal decomposition behavior and compatibility with standard binder systems. Continued research is required to fully quantify their benefits, understand processing constraints, and evaluate long-term storage and handling safety (Elzaki & Zhang, 2016; Singh & Shekhar, 2016).

Ammonium nitrate (AN)-based composite propellants have attracted significant attention as environmentally benign alternatives to AP-based formulations. The primary advantage of AN-based propellants is that their combustion products are free of toxic gases, particularly HCl, making them attractive from environmental and operational perspectives. This characteristic addresses one of the most significant limitations of AP-based propellants and aligns with regulatory trends toward greener propulsion technologies (Elzaki & Zhang, 2016; Singh & Shekhar, 2016).

The development of AN-based composite propellants requires careful formulation optimization to achieve performance levels comparable to established AP-based systems. The thermal decomposition characteristics of AN differ substantially from those of AP, necessitating adjustments to binder chemistry, fuel particle size distribution, and additive packages to optimize combustion kinetics and propellant performance. Despite these challenges, AN-based propellants represent a promising direction for future composite propellant development, particularly for applications where environmental considerations are paramount (Elzaki & Zhang, 2016).

Fuels of Composite Solid Rocket Propellants (CSRPs)

The fuel component, conventionally consisting of aluminum particles, provides the primary energy source for combustion and contributes substantially to the propellant's specific impulse. Alternative fuel materials, including magnesium, have been investigated to achieve enhanced performance characteristics, though aluminum remains the industry standard for most applications (Sahimi, 2003).

Aluminum has established itself as the dominant fuel material in composite solid rocket propellants due to its exceptional combination of properties (Sahimi, 2003). Aluminum particles provide high energy density, well-characterized combustion kinetics, and excellent compatibility with standard binder systems and oxidizers (De Amicis et al., 2008). The combustion of aluminum in the oxygen-rich environment created by oxidizer decomposition produces aluminum oxide (Al_2O_3), which contributes substantially to the propellant's specific impulse and exhaust temperature (Sahimi, 2003).

The selection of aluminum particle size and size distribution critically influences propellant performance characteristics. Smaller aluminum particles exhibit higher surface area and faster combustion kinetics, potentially enhancing burn rate and specific impulse. However, particle size must be carefully controlled to ensure adequate mixing with oxidizer and binder components during propellant processing and to maintain consistent combustion characteristics throughout the burn duration. Aluminum particles typically constitute 10–20% of the total propellant mass, with the exact percentage determined through thermochemical calculations to achieve optimal energy release and combustion temperature. The heterogeneous distribution of aluminum particles throughout the propellant matrix ensures combustion occurs in a distributed manner throughout the grain volume, contributing to the stable and predictable burn characteristics that characterize composite propellants (Agrawal, 2010; Sahimi, 2003; Sutton & Biblarz, 2011).

Magnesium has been investigated as an alternative or supplementary fuel material in composite propellants, offering potential advantages in terms of specific impulse and combustion temperature compared to aluminum alone. Magnesium exhibits higher energy density per unit mass than aluminum, and its combustion products (magnesium oxide) can contribute to enhanced exhaust temperature. However, the implementation of magnesium in composite propellants presents challenges related to particle reactivity, oxidation during processing, and compatibility with binder systems. The use of magnesium in composite propellants requires careful control of processing conditions to prevent premature oxidation and to ensure adequate dispersion throughout the propellant matrix. Additionally, magnesium particles exhibit different combustion kinetics compared to aluminum, potentially affecting the overall burn rate and combustion stability of the propellant. Other alternative fuel materials, including boron and boron compounds, have been explored for composite propellant applications. These materials offer potential

advantages in terms of energy density and specific impulse, but present significant challenges related to particle reactivity, processing complexity, and environmental considerations. The combustion of boron-containing fuels produces boron oxide particles that can affect exhaust plume characteristics and environmental impact, limiting their applicability in many operational scenarios (Sahimi, 2003).

Binders of Composite Solid Rocket Propellants (CSRPs)

Binders are very important to the performance of solid propellants because they control how much solid can be loaded and how well the materials are put together. Asphalt and a variety of polymers, including polyethylene and polyester, were among the traditional binders. However, these early alternatives are now considered outdated due to their inferior mechanical properties and lower specific impulse characteristics. The introduction of advanced materials such as polybutadiene with functional groups and other energetic binders has substantially facilitated the development of energetic polymer binders over the past two decades.

A polymer suitable as a binder for composite rocket propellants must possess a range of desirable attributes, the most critical of which include:

- The binder must be compatible with the propellant's supplementary components, including an oxidizer, metal powder, plasticizer, bonding agent, stabilizer, and ballistic modifier, among others.
- The binder must possess a polymer backbone characterized by a high carbon-hydrogen ratio.
- The average molecular weight (M_n) and weight (M_w) must range from 2000 to 3500, with the molecular weight distribution being as narrow as possible to enhance the mechanical properties of propellants and ensure reproducibility.
- The binder must possess low viscosity to provide enhanced solids loading (oxidizer and metal fuel), hence yielding a higher specific impulse (I_{sp}).
- Upon the incorporation of solids (85-90%), the binder must exhibit elevated tensile strength, elongation, and Young's modulus to maintain the structural integrity of rocket propellant grains during flight.
- The binder should possess a low glass transition temperature (T_g) and an extended shelf life (Agrawal, 2010; Jarosz et al., 2019; Sutton & Biblarz, 2011).

Hydroxyl-terminated polybutadiene (HTPB) has emerged as the industry-standard binder material for composite solid rocket propellants, serving as the primary polymeric matrix that holds oxidizers and fuel particles in a cohesive structure. HTPB is a synthetic rubber

polymer with terminal hydroxyl groups that enable cross-linking through reaction with isocyanate curing agents, forming a three-dimensional polymeric network. The resulting cured propellant exhibits rubbery mechanical properties that provide structural integrity to the propellant grain while accommodating the thermal stresses generated during combustion (De Amicis et al., 2008).

The selection of HTPB as the standard binder reflects its favorable combination of properties: excellent mechanical properties in both cured and uncured states, well-characterized curing kinetics, good compatibility with oxidizer and fuel particles, and proven long-term storage stability (De Amicis et al., 2008). HTPB-based propellants have been extensively characterized through decades of aerospace applications, providing a comprehensive database of performance characteristics and processing parameters that facilitate reliable propellant formulation and manufacturing. The mechanical properties of HTPB-based propellants can be tailored through adjustment of the polymer molecular weight, cross-link density, and incorporation of plasticizers (Sutton & Biblarz, 2011). These adjustments allow propellant engineers to optimize the balance between mechanical strength and flexibility, ensuring that the propellant grain can withstand the mechanical stresses imposed during motor operation while maintaining structural integrity throughout the burn duration (Chen et al., 2024; Gligorijević et al., 2016).

Carboxyl-terminated polybutadiene (CTPB) represents an alternative polymeric binder system that offers certain advantages over HTPB in specific applications. CTPB contains terminal carboxyl groups that enable cross-linking through different curing mechanisms compared to HTPB, potentially offering enhanced mechanical properties and improved compatibility with certain oxidizer and fuel systems. The use of CTPB allows for alternative curing chemistry that may provide advantages in processing flexibility and final propellant properties (De Amicis et al., 2008; Sutton & Biblarz, 2011).

Glycidyl Azide Polymer (GAP) is a notable energetic polymer created in 1972 by a reaction between NaN_3 and polyepichlorohydrin (PECH-triol) in DMF. It has garnered significant scientific interest for its application as an energetic plasticizer and binder owing to its beneficial qualities. GAP possesses a density of $1.3 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$, a positive heat of formation of $+42 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, and the capacity to desensitize nitroglycerine (NG), an essential element in rocket propellants. It is easily produced and economical, demonstrating superior binder qualities in its unadulterated state. It functions in propellants as both a plasticizer (molecular weight $M_n = 400\text{--}500$) and a binder ($M_n \approx 2500\text{--}3000$), markedly improving the specific impulse (I_{sp}) (Agrawal, 2010; Hafner, Keicher, & Klapötke, 2018).

GAP represents a paradigm shift in composite propellant binder technology, transitioning from inert polymeric materials to energetic binders that actively contribute to the overall

energy content of the propellant (Jarosz et al., 2019). The incorporation of GAP as a binder enables the development of higher-performance propellants with enhanced specific impulse and combustion temperature compared to conventional HTPB-based formulations (Gligorijević et al., 2016; Gołofit et al., 2023).

The fundamental distinction between energetic and non-energetic binders lies in their chemical composition and role in the combustion process (Jarosz et al., 2019). While traditional binders such as HTPB serve primarily as mechanical binding agents with minimal energy contribution, energetic binders like GAP participate directly in the combustion reaction, releasing substantial energy that enhances overall propellant performance. Compared to HTPB, GAP not only provides structural and mechanical support but also contributes to the propellant's total energy content, resulting in higher specific impulse and increased combustion temperature. This distinction has profound implications for propellant formulation, as the incorporation of energetic binders allows for optimization of the overall energy balance and combustion characteristics of the propellant system (Gołofit et al., 2023; Jarosz et al., 2019).

NiMMO is produced via the selective nitration of the hydroxyl group in 3-hydroxymethyl-3-methyloxetane (HyMMO) utilizing N_2O_5 , aided by a flow nitration method that improves yield and purity in dichloromethane. Through cationic polymerization with an initiator system of BF_3 and a diol, NiMMO yields poly(NiMMO), a pale-yellow viscous liquid. The cationic polymerization process is affected by several factors, including reaction temperature, initiator system, reaction duration, and monomer addition rate, all of which influence the resulting molecular weight, polydispersity, viscosity, hydroxyl functionality, and the formation of cyclic species (Agrawal, 2010; Gołofit et al., 2023).

Polynitropolymers, including polyNiMMO (poly(3-nitratomethyl-3-methyloxirane)), represent another class of energetic binders that have been investigated for composite propellant applications. These materials incorporate nitrate ester functional groups into the polymer backbone, providing energetic content while maintaining the structural and mechanical functions required of a binder material. PolyNiMMO and related polynitropolymers offer potential advantages in terms of specific impulse and combustion characteristics compared to conventional binders, though their implementation requires careful consideration of thermal stability and compatibility with oxidizer and fuel components (Gołofit et al., 2023; Jarosz et al., 2019).

The incorporation of energetic binders into composite propellants offers significant potential advantages, including enhanced specific impulse, higher combustion temperature, and improved volumetric energy density (Jarosz et al., 2019). These improvements can translate into enhanced rocket motor performance, increased payload capacity, or extended mission duration for space applications. Additionally, the

use of energetic binders can facilitate the development of propellants with improved environmental characteristics, as the enhanced energy content may allow for reduced oxidizer loading or the substitution of environmentally problematic oxidizers with greener alternatives. However, energetic binders present substantial challenges related to safety, processing, and long-term stability. Their energetic nature requires enhanced safety protocols during manufacturing, handling, and storage. Thermal stability must be carefully characterized to ensure consistent propellant performance throughout its intended service life. Furthermore, interactions between energetic binders and other propellant components, particularly oxidizers and catalytic additives, must be thoroughly understood to ensure predictable and safe propellant behavior (Agrawal, 2010; Jarosz et al., 2019; Sutton & Biblarz, 2011).

Additives of Composite Solid Rocket Propellants (CSRPs)

The incorporation of catalytic additives into composite propellants represents a sophisticated approach to optimizing combustion kinetics and enhancing overall propellant performance. Catalytic additives function by accelerating the thermal decomposition of the oxidizer, reducing the decomposition temperature and enhancing the rate of oxygen release during combustion. This acceleration of oxidizer decomposition leads to improved combustion efficiency, enhanced burn rate stability, and potentially increased specific impulse (Mani, 2025). Advanced catalytic systems incorporating nanomaterials have been investigated for composite propellant applications, offering the potential for enhanced catalytic activity through increased surface area and unique nanoscale effects. Nano-scale iron oxides and other transition metal oxide nanoparticles demonstrate enhanced catalytic potency compared to conventional micrometer-scale catalysts, potentially enabling improved propellant performance through reduced catalyst loading (Harimech et al., 2025; Mani, 2025).

Thermite systems, consisting of metal fuels (typically aluminum) combined with metal oxides (such as iron oxide), have been investigated as additives to enhance the combustion characteristics of composite propellants. Aluminum/iron oxide (Al/Fe₂O₃) thermites demonstrate enhanced combustion-supporting ability for AP/HTPB propellants compared to iron oxide alone or other thermite formulations. The thermite reaction between aluminum and iron oxide generates substantial heat and produces molten products that can enhance the combustion of the surrounding propellant matrix (Chen et al., 2024; Zhao et al., 2016).

The effectiveness of thermite additives depends critically on the particle morphology and size distribution of the constituent materials. Granular iron oxide structures and corresponding thermites exhibit higher burning rates due to larger surface area associated with smaller particle sizes. Optimization of thermite additive systems requires careful

consideration of particle size, morphology, and loading to achieve enhanced combustion characteristics without adversely affecting propellant mechanical properties or processing characteristics (Zhao et al., 2016).

Plasticizers are incorporated into composite propellants to modify the mechanical properties of the cured binder, typically reducing stiffness and increasing flexibility. These additives function by disrupting polymer chain interactions, reducing the glass transition temperature, and enhancing the elasticity of the cured propellant. Common plasticizers include dioctyl phthalate (DOP), diisodecyl phthalate (DIDP), and other organic compounds that are compatible with the binder polymer and do not adversely affect propellant performance (De Amicis et al., 2008).

The selection and loading of plasticizers must be carefully optimized to achieve the desired mechanical properties while maintaining adequate structural strength and long-term stability. Excessive plasticizer loading can compromise propellant strength and increase the risk of mechanical failure during motor operation, while insufficient plasticizer may result in a propellant that is too brittle and susceptible to cracking during thermal cycling or mechanical stress (Thakre & Yang, 2010).

Stabilizers are incorporated into composite propellants to enhance long-term storage stability and prevent degradation of the propellant components during extended storage periods. These additives function by inhibiting oxidation reactions, preventing hydrolysis of the polymer binder, and maintaining the chemical stability of the oxidizer and fuel components. Common stabilizers include hindered phenols, aminic compounds, and other antioxidants that are compatible with the propellant matrix (De Amicis et al., 2008).

The incorporation of stabilizers is particularly important for propellants intended for long-term storage, as the oxidizer and fuel components can undergo slow chemical reactions that degrade propellant performance over time. The selection of appropriate stabilizers and their loading must be carefully optimized to ensure that the propellant maintains consistent performance characteristics throughout its intended service life, which may span decades for military and space applications (Singh & Shekhar, 2016; Thakre & Yang, 2010).

Beyond catalysts, plasticizers, and stabilizers, various other additives have been investigated for composite propellants to achieve specific performance objectives. These include burn rate modifiers (e.g., metal-based or organometallic burn-rate agents, inorganic nanoparticle promoters) to adjust the propellant's burn rate to meet specific motor design requirements, smoke suppressants (e.g., condensable mineral fillers, expandable graphitic materials, reduced metal loading approaches) to reduce exhaust plume visibility, and environmental modifiers (e.g., halogen-free/"green" oxidizer systems, acid-scavenging additives, alloying strategies to alter combustion product) to

minimize the production of toxic combustion products. The strategic incorporation of these additives allows propellant engineers to tailor the propellant's characteristics to meet specific application requirements while maintaining compatibility with the primary propellant components (Singh & Shekhar, 2016).

Performance Characteristics of Composite Solid Rocket Propellants (CSRPs)

Performance assessment of CSRP encompasses several critical parameters, including mechanical properties, thermal performance, and combustion characteristics. The mechanical stability of propellants during service is paramount. Studies on stress-strain behavior, using methods like indentation techniques, reveal the impact of aging and environmental factors on mechanical integrity (Kumar Bihari, Kumaraswamy, Jain, & Murthy, 2022). A comprehensive evaluation of mechanical properties throughout the lifecycle ensures safety and reliability during storage and operation (Gligorijević et al., 2016).

Beyond mechanical properties, thermal stability is essential for propellant safety. Thermal analyses, including differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), assess the decomposition temperatures and relevant thermal transitions of the materials, thereby ensuring safe operating conditions during propellant use (Gaur et al., 2017; Tsyshevsky et al., 2015).

The combustion behavior of CSRP is significantly influenced by the oxidizer-fuel ratio, the presence of additives, and the physical characteristics of the oxidizer. Advanced testing methodologies, such as X-ray computed tomography, provide insights into the mixing state and distribution of components, impacting performance and combustion efficiency (Hosomi et al., 2019).

Recent Developments and Future Trends of Composite Solid Rocket Propellants (CSRPs)

Recent advancements in CSRP technology focus on improving performance while ensuring reliability and safety. The incorporation of nanomaterials, such as carbon nanotubes and metal nanoparticles, enhances the mechanical and thermal properties of propellants while increasing energy output through improved combustion kinetics (Navalino et al., 2024). Research into reactive nanocomposites has demonstrated their potential in advancing propellant formulations (Tawfik, Saleh, Elbeih, & Klapötke, 2016).

Studies have shown that varying the particle size distribution of ammonium perchlorate can significantly impact the combustion rates and stability of CSRP. Understanding these correlations aids in optimizing propellant formulations for specific mission profiles (Park et al., 2020). Ongoing research investigates the aging characteristics of composite

propellants and their performance over time. The effects of environmental conditions such as temperature, humidity, and storage duration on mechanical properties and sensitivity are critical for maintaining reliable and robust rocket systems (Bogusz & Magnuszewska, 2019; Hawass, Kim, & Kwon, 2024).

Recent developments have focused on burn rate modifiers that can adjust the propellant's performance dynamically. Such modifications can enhance thrust profiles and adaptability across varying mission requirements, addressing the need for versatility in modern propulsion systems (Lu et al., 2023).

Conclusion

Composite solid rocket propellants are an established and mature technology that keeps developing in response to environmental factors, performance demands, and technical advancements. Over decades of operational experience, the basic design of these propellants—which consists of oxidizer, fuel, and binder components—has demonstrated efficacy for a wide range of aerospace applications. Significant gains in performance, manufacturing efficiency, and environmental compatibility are anticipated from ongoing research into enhanced catalytic systems, energetic binders, and alternate oxidizers.

Environmental restrictions and operational considerations have led to a considerable evolution in propellant technology, with the shift from traditional AP-based propellants to ecologically benign alternatives like ADN-based or AN-based systems. While enabling the use of more environmentally friendly oxidizer systems, the addition of active binders and sophisticated catalytic additives gives the possibility of improved performance. With continuous research tackling basic issues in combustion kinetics, environmental compatibility, and production efficiency, the field of composite solid rocket propellants is still vibrant and inventive. For upcoming military, commercial, and aerospace applications, the combination of advanced materials, complex catalyst systems, and creative processing methods promises to produce propellants with better environmental qualities, increased manufacturing efficiency, and improved performance.

References

- Agrawal, J. P. (2010). *High energy materials: propellants, explosives and pyrotechnics*: John Wiley & Sons.
- Alemayehu, A. Y., & Solomon, L. G. J. I. J. A. A. R. (2020). Design of a solid rocket propulsion system. 7(2), 224-229.
- Arun Kumar, M., Purayil Dhanya, B., Venugopal, S., Vargeese, A. A., & Krishnamurthy, V. J. P., *Explosives, Pyrotechnics*. (2024). Development of Green Energetic Oxidizers for Solid Propellant Applications: Present Status. 49(12), e202400076.
- Bekhouche, S., & Luo, Y. J. J. A. M. R. (2014). Research of formulation and processing

- of Hydroxyl-Terminated Polybutadiene (HTPB) propellants. 1030, 155-160.
- Bogusz, R., & Magnuszewska, P. J. P., *Explosives, Pyrotechnics*. (2019). The Aging Studies of the Low HCl Content Composite Rocket Propellant. 44(9), 1193-1198.
- Campos, E. A., Dutra, R. d. C. L., Rezende, L. C., Diniz, M. F., Nawa, W. M. D., Iha, K. J. J. o. A. T., & Management. (2010). Performance evaluation of commercial copper chromites as burning rate catalyst for solid propellants. 2(3), 323-330.
- Chen, K., Xue, X., & Yu, Y. J. P., *Explosives, Pyrotechnics*. (2024). A model for the combustion behavior of AP/HTPB propellant containing ultrafine aluminum. 49(7), e202400049.
- De Amicis, R., Scalia, T., Accettura, A. J. P. I. A., & AERONAUTICS. (2008). Advanced solid rocket motors. 223, 19.
- Elzaki, B. I., & Zhang, Y. J. J. M. (2016). Coating methods for surface modification of ammonium nitrate: A mini-review. 9(7), 502.
- Gaur, P., Dev, S., Kumar, S., Kumar, M., Vargeese, A. A., Soni, P., . . . Ghosh, S. J. A. o. (2017). Dendritic polynitrato energetic motifs: development and exploration of physicochemical behavior through theoretical and experimental approach. 2(11), 8227-8233.
- Gettwert, V., Tagliabue, C., & Weiser, V. (2017). Burning behavior of aluminized ADN/PSAN propellants. Paper presented at the 7th European Conf. for Aeronautics and Space Science (EUCASS), July.
- Glorigrijević, N. I., Rodić, V. Ž., Živković, S. Ž., Pavković, B. M., Nikolić, M. M., Kozomara, S. M., & Subotić, S. D. J. H. i. (2016). Mechanical characterization of composite solid rocket propellant based on hydroxy-terminated polybutadiene. 70(5), 581-594.
- Gołofit, T., Cieślak, K., & Chmielarek, M. J. M. W. (2023). Theoretical analysis of the use of glycidyl polyazide and polynitratomethylmethyloxetane in high-performance reduced-smoke rocket propellants. 15, 36-47.
- Hafner, S., Keicher, T., & Klapötke, T. M. J. P., *Explosives, Pyrotechnics*. (2018). Copolymers based on GAP and 1, 2-Epoxyhexane as Promising Prepolymers for Energetic Binder Systems. 43(2), 126-135.
- Harimech, Z., Salah, M., Amrousse, R. J. I. M. f. I. A. S., *Characterization, & Evaluation*. (2025). Ammonium Dinitramide (ADN) Decomposition as Green Propellant: Overview of Synthesized Catalysts. 169-194.
- Hawass, A., Kim, J. W., & Kwon, S. J. E. J. o. C. (2024). Novel method for Investigation the mass of different pyrotechnics MTV Compositions for rocket motor igniter. 67(3), 203-207.

- Hosomi, N., Otake, K., Uegaki, N., Iwasaki, A., Matsumoto, K., Asakawa, M. R., . . . Space Sciences, A. T. J. (2019). Analysis of mixed state of AP/HTPB composite propellant by X-ray computed tomography. 17(1), 14-18.
- Jarosz, T., Stolarczyk, A., Wawrzkievicz-Jalowiecka, A., Pawlus, K., & Mischczyszyn, K. J. M. (2019). Glycidyl azide polymer and its derivatives-versatile binders for explosives and pyrotechnics: tutorial review of recent progress. 24(24), 4475.
- Koizumi, H., Hamasaki, K., Kondo, R., Okada, K., Nakano, M., & Arakawa, Y. J. J. S. o. A. S. S. (2010). Effect of the Thruster Configurations on a Laser Ignition Microthruster. 58(677), 178-186.
- Kumar Bihari, B., Kumaraswamy, A., Jain, M., & Murthy, K. P. J. P., Explosives, Pyrotechnics. (2022). Assessment of Mechanical Properties of Aged Composite Propellant Using Non-Destructive Indentation Technique in Solid Propellant Rocket Motor. 47(6), e202100339.
- Lichthardt, J. P., Tappan, B. C., De, N. N., Novak, A. M., Baca, E. V., Oschwald, D. M., & Risha, G. A. J. P., Explosives, Pyrotechnics. (2022). Novel Segregated Solid Propulsion System with Separately Stored Fuel and Oxidizer. 47(11), e202200142.
- Ling, C., Zhang, X., Li, X., Mou, G., Guo, X., Yuan, B., & Yang, K. J. P. (2025). Molecular Simulations of Interface-Driven Crosslinked Network Formation and Mechanical Response in Composite Propellants. 17(13), 1863.
- Lu, H., Wang, H., Chen, X., Bai, X., Xu, Z., Wei, Y., & Fan, L. (2023). Improved mass flow rate regulation methods based on variable frequency control: a case study of oxidizer agent weighing for solid propellants. Paper presented at the Actuators.
- Mani, G. J. M. R. F. (2025). Earth-Abundant Transition Metal Oxide-based Catalysts for Composite Solid Propellants. 179.
- Mingireanu, F., Jula, N., Miclos, S., Baschir, L., & Savastru, D. (2018). Solid rocket motors internal ballistic model with erosive and condensed phase considerations. Paper presented at the The International Conference on Applied Mechanics and Mechanical Engineering.
- Mishra, D. P. (2017). Fundamentals of rocket propulsion: CRC Press.
- Mourdikoudis, S., Kostopoulou, A., & LaGrow, A. P. J. A. S. (2021). Magnetic nanoparticle composites: synergistic effects and applications. 8(12), 2004951.
- Navalino, R., Muda, N. R. S., Hafizah, M., & Ruyat, Y. J. F. (2024). Analysis of carbon nano particle variant as the propellant fuel to increase specific impulses of rockets. 12, 1414.
- Park, S., Choi, S., Kim, K., Kim, W., & Park, J. J. P., Explosives, Pyrotechnics. (2020).

- Effects of ammonium perchlorate particle size, ratio, and total contents on the properties of a composite solid propellant. 45(9), 1376-1381.
- Rao, N. P., Solanke, C., Bihari, B. K., Singh, P. P., & Bhattacharya, B. J. P., Explosives, Pyrotechnics. (2016). Evaluation of mechanical properties of solid propellants in rocket motors by indentation technique. 41(2), 281-285.
- Remissa, I., Jabri, H., Hairch, Y., Toshtay, K., Atamanov, M., Azat, S., & Amrousse, R. J. E. C.-T. J. (2023). Propulsion systems, propellants, green propulsion subsystems and their applications: a review. 25(1), 3-19.
- Runtu, K. R., Setiani, W., Utami, M. J. I. J. o. S. S. R., & Review. (2023). Application energetic materials for solid composite propellant to support defense rocket development. 6(1), 153-159.
- Sahimi, M. (2003). Heterogeneous Materials I: Linear transport and optical properties: Springer.
- Said, A., Maraden, A., Elhedery, T., Abd Elall, A., & Elbasuney, S. (2023). Propulsion theoretical and experimental analysis of composite propellants motors. Paper presented at the Journal of Physics: Conference Series.
- Shekhar, H. J. D. S. J. (2012). Studies on Stress-Strain Curves of Aged Composite Solid Rocket Propellants. 62(2).
- Shi, J., Liang, J., Sun, X., Li, Y., Zhang, H., Guo, X., . . . Nie, J. J. N. (2025). Synergistic Regulation of Combustion Behavior and Safety Characteristics of Graphene Modified Core–Shell Al@ AP Composites. 15(11), 853.
- Singh, H., & Shekhar, H. (2016). Solid rocket propellants: science and technology challenges: Royal Society of Chemistry.
- Sutton, G. P., & Biblarz, O. (2011). Rocket propulsion elements: John Wiley & Sons.
- Tawfik, S. M., Saleh, A., Elbeih, A., & Klapötke, T. M. J. Z. f. a. u. a. C. (2016). Reactive nanocomposites as versatile additives for composite propellants. 642(21), 1222-1229.
- Thakre, P., & Yang, V. J. E. o. a. e. (2010). Solid propellants.
- Tsyshevsky, R., Pagoria, P., Zhang, M., Racoveanu, A., DeHope, A., Parrish, D., & Kuklja, M. M. J. T. J. o. P. C. C. (2015). Searching for low-sensitivity cast-melt high-energy-density materials: Synthesis, characterization, and decomposition kinetics of 3, 4-bis (4-nitro-1, 2, 5-oxadiazol-3-yl)-1, 2, 5-oxadiazole-2-oxide. 119(7), 3509-3521.
- Unni, A. A., Kulkarni, R., Singh, C., Singh, V., Varshini, V. M. P., & Shanmugaraj, G. (2020). Effects of adding powdered metals with the solid propellants—A review. Paper presented at the Journal of Physics: Conference Series.

Zhao, N., Li, J., Zhao, F., An, T., Hu, R., & Ma, H. J. D. C. T. (2016). Combustion catalyst: Nano- Fe_2O_3 and nano-thermite $\text{Al}/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ with different shapes. 325(10.5772), 64748.

About The Authors

Selva ASTAN is an R&D Engineer at the Mechanical and Chemical Industries Corporation, R&D and Technology Directorate in Ankara, working in the field of energetic materials for defense industry projects. She holds a master's degree in Metallurgical and Materials Engineering from Sivas Cumhuriyet University. Her master's research focused on the electroceramic fabrication of high-temperature stable piezoelectric materials, including dielectric and electromechanical characterization and advanced microstructure analysis. She earned a bachelor's degree in Materials Science and Engineering from Gebze Technical University, where she was a member of the Piezodevices Research Group.

E-mail : selvaastan@gmail.com, **ORCID :** 0009-0007-3075-1410

Ahmet Burçin BATIBAY, PhD, works as a Senior Engineer at the Energetic Materials Research Center, which is part of the R&D and Technology Directorate located within the Mechanical and Chemical Industry Cooperation in Ankara, Turkey. He earned a master's degree in Materials Science and Engineering from Istanbul Technical University and subsequently obtained his PhD in the same field from Afyon Kocatepe University. His primary research interests encompass powder metallurgy, biomaterials, energetic materials, and materials characterization.

E-mail : batybay@gmail.com, **ORCID :** 0000-0002-2606-5115

Similarity Index:

The similarity index obtained from the plagiarism software for this book chapter is 10%.